WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB-LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	6-10-21 Inspector: 1	A WA	M			
Time:_	12:30 Weather Conditions: Su	inny	"Mot			
		Yes	No		Notes	
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	: 4)				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or				•	
	localized settlement observed on the	ĺ				
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			+		
	Were conditions observed within the cells		<u> </u>		.	
· 2.	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
	operations that represent a potential disruption					
	to ongoing CCR management operations?					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		 	<u> </u>		
	within the general landfill operations that	ľ				
ELECTRICAL PROPERTY.	represent a potential disruption of the safety of					
	the CCR management operations.					
CCRF	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)((4))	<u> </u>		,	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting				·	
	period? If answer is no, no additional					
	information required.					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust					
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?					
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			·····		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				-	
	landfill access roads?					
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			•		
4	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.					ν.
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					····
7-	measures effective? If the answer is no.					
	describe recommended changes below.					
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen					
	complaints received during the reporting					
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?					· ·
Addition	al Notes:	. •				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form $10_2015 x$ lsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:	0 - 3 - 18 Inspector: W	3 Wa		
Time:	© 7:30 Weather Conditions:	cinny	Hof	
		. Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	± 4)		
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?			
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	The state of the s		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.			
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		<u> </u>
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		•	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			
Additiona	l Notes:			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 5-28-2 Inspector: Sun run

Time: 8:504 Weather Conditions: Sun run

		Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	- [
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the			
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?			
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	Account of the Control of the Contro		,
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.			
CCR Ft	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	de de la companya de		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			_
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	-		-

Additional Notes:			
	:		
			
	:	~	

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Inspector: Weather Conditions: _ Hunk Yes Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7 Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged?

Additional Notes: